Single Bench
Page No. (1)

cf 100 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No.:- 4590 **/ 2004.** **S.B.**

PETITIONER :-

H.N. Pandey, Aged about 50 Yrs.,
S/O Late B.P.Pandey,
Forest Ranger,
Resident Of Forest Colony,
Khandgawaon,Distt. Koria.
Permanent R/O, Daya-Kunj,
Karbala Road,Bilaspur,
Distt.:- Bilaspur[C.G.]

-: V E R S U S :-

RESPONDENTS:-

1. **The State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Forest Deptt. D.K.S. Bhavan Mantralaya Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)**

2. **The Conservator Of Forest, Forest Office:- Surguja, Distt. Surguja [C.G.]**

3. **The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division Koria, Baikunthpur,Distt. Koria [C.G.]**

4. **Mr. P.D. Gupta,S/O Late B.L.Gupta, Aged About- 48 Yrs, Forest Ranger, R/O Forest Colony, Khandgawaon, Distt Kroia,[C.G.]**

P.R. No. 4579/04
Presented by Shri S.S. Majumdar
dated 23/11/04
COPY
23/11/04
Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF DIRECTION(S) OR ORDER(S).

(49)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.No. 1502/2004 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5-5-2005 Shri S.K. Mishra, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, P.L. for the State/respondents No.1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioner is that vide order Annexure-P/2 dated 3rd September 2004 the petitioner was transferred from Ambikapur to Khadgawan, Vanmandal District Koria where one Shri P.D. Gupta was working. However, Mr. P.D. Gupta filed a writ petiton before the High Court against the said order in which the High Court allowed Mr. P.D. Gupta to make a representation before the appropriate authority and in pursuance of that he made a representation and that representation was allowed and vide Annexure-P/1 dated 25-9-2004 he was allowed to continue on the post whereas, no order was passed in respect of the petitioner regarding his posting. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same and he be further directed to post the petitioner anywhere as after order Annexure-P/1 his posting to Khadgawan stands cancelled to which counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner which appears to be just and fair, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.2 will decide the representation of the petitoner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitoner in an objective manner in accordance with law, as his transfer in place of Mr. P.D. Gupta stands cancelled vide Annexure-P/1 dated 25-9-2004, while cancelling the transfer order, the respondents ought to have passed the order of posting of the petitioner and that has not been done, therefore, the petitioner is not able to join his duties and he is sitting without any work.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.4918/2004 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge